Determination of Hg in Biological Samples and Ethanol Fuel by Photochemical Vapor Generation after Pre-Concentration in a Gold Trap
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In this work, the photochemical vapor generation (PVG) coupled with atomic absorption spectrometry and a pre-concentration step with an Au column was used for the determination of Hg in biological samples and ethanol fuel. PVG with pre-concentration showed an up to 250-fold higher sensitivity compared to the approach without pre-concentration. The accuracy of analysis of biological samples was evaluated using certified reference materials (fish tissues), while for ethanol fuel samples, recovery tests were employed (91%). Analytical curves were linear ($R > 0.99$) in the studied range of 2.5 to 10 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ for conventional PVG and 0.2 to 0.5 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ for PVG with the pre-concentration step. For the last, the limits of detection reached for biological samples and ethanol fuel were 0.02 and 0.01 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$, respectively. The systems presented are simple, sensitive and safe for the control of low Hg concentrations in different samples. However, only the system using pre-concentration with an Au column was capable of obtaining the reproduced signals of Hg in low concentrations of the order of 0.2 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$.
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Introduction

Mercury (Hg) is a toxic element and its introduction to the environment is mainly related to anthropogenic activities. When Hg comes into contact with aquatic environments, they can suffer methylation. This process is the result of the bacterial action that converts inorganic Hg into its organic form, methylmercury (MeHg), which is the more toxic form. Thus, the organic species of Hg can be easily absorbed through biological lipid membranes of fish and become bioaccumulative. Due to this fact, Hg can easily enter the human food chain by ingestion of fish and has neurotoxic potential when it interacts with the central nervous system.$^{1,5}$

In addition to exposure via ingestion of contaminated food, there are other ways in which Hg interacts with humans and the environment. Among them, Hg can be released into the atmosphere by automobiles from the combustion of fuels, making it a significant source of pollution. Besides Hg, others elements such as As, Cd, Fe, Mn, P, Pb and V can be released during the combustion.$^{4,5}$ However, the determination of metals in fuel is difficult due to the fact that their concentrations are generally low as fuel is a result of a distillation process. Furthermore, the validation of the proposed methodologies is not appropriate due to the absence of certified reference materials with concentration values for Hg.$^{6}$

One interesting factor is that in March 2003, vehicles with flexible engines were introduced to the Brazilian market. Thus, these vehicles can operate on gasoline or ethanol fuel, or a mixture of both fuels in any proportion. Since then, about 40% of the vehicles in Brazil have been using ethanol as fuel. This can contribute in an increase of metals released during the combustion, affecting the quality of fuel.$^{7,8}$

The determination of Hg in fuel samples is difficult due to the complexity of such matrices (i.e., high volatility), which may lead to serious losses during the analysis. In this way, a sensitive analytical technique is required and the cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (CV AAS) using a chemical reduction is the most consolidated for the determination of this element.$^{9-12}$
Ultraviolet (UV) radiation has been successfully used as an alternative to chemical reduction and also promotes the reduction of metal ions in various vapor species.\textsuperscript{11} This results in an increase of the number of elements that can be detected by vapor generation techniques. Guo\textit{ et al.}\textsuperscript{11} were the first researchers to report the analytical application of photochemical vapor generation (PVG) using UV radiation for reduction. In the presence of formic acid, Se\textsuperscript{IV} was converted by UV irradiation to volatile selenium species, such as SeCO and SeH\textsubscript{2}, which were then rapidly transported to a heated quartz tube atomizer (QTA) for detection by atomic absorption spectrometry.

For promoting the photochemical reduction, a photochemical reactor constructed with a low cost UV source can be used. The samples in the presence of low molecular weight (LMW) organic acids are exposed to UV radiation in this photochemical reactor, resulting in the formation of photolysis products and intermediate reducing radicals, which are responsible for the formation of volatile species. PVG presents various advantages, such as not requiring the use of unstable reducing reagents and introducing interference during the reactions, making the method simple and environmentally friendly, in addition to the expanded number of detectable elements.\textsuperscript{13-18} In relation to Hg, PVG has been applied for the determination of this analyte in biological and geological samples,\textsuperscript{19} petroleum and naphta,\textsuperscript{20} ethanol fuel,\textsuperscript{21} cosmetics\textsuperscript{22} and glycerin,\textsuperscript{23} among others.

Due to the low Hg concentrations found in most samples, the total separation of analyte from the matrix, followed by the use of a pre-concentration step, can increase the sensitivity of detection of the analyte. Thus, the limits of detection (LOD) can reach ultra-trace levels (ng L\textsuperscript{-1}).\textsuperscript{24,25} It is known that Hg has a high affinity for Au, through the formation of an amalgam, mainly in mining processes.\textsuperscript{26} Recently, some studies have shown that different species of mercury (Hg\textsuperscript{0}, Hg\textsuperscript{2+} and CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}Hg\textsuperscript{+}) are easily adsorbed and desorbed in the structure of Au when high temperatures are applied, thus making easier the determination of Hg.\textsuperscript{27,28}

Some applications of pre-concentration of Hg in Au columns (gold traps) followed by determination using atomic spectrometry techniques have been reported in the literature. Puanngam\textit{ et al.}\textsuperscript{29} used pre-concentration in a gold trap for the determination of Hg in natural waters by CV AFS. Zierhut\textit{ et al.}\textsuperscript{25} described the determination of Hg species (Hg\textsuperscript{0}, Hg\textsuperscript{2+} and CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}Hg\textsuperscript{+}) on gold nanostructures directly from aqueous solutions of natural waters by cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry (CV AFS). Duarte\textit{ et al.}\textsuperscript{30} proposed a method for the determination of Hg species in fish samples using chemical vapor generation (CVG) and pre-concentration on a gold gauze placed into a graphite tube and determination by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (GFAAS). However, the application of PVG with pre-concentration in a gold trap has not yet been explored for the determination of Hg in a complex matrix, such as biological or fuel samples. Only two applications for water samples have been found.\textsuperscript{31,32}

Based on these points, the goals of this work are to evaluate PVG with and without a pre-concentration step using an Au column for the determination of Hg in biological samples and ethanol fuel, and to compare their figures of merit.

**Experimental**

**Instrumentation**

The measurements of Hg were carried out with a AA-6300 atomic absorption spectrometer (Shimadzu, Japan) equipped with deuterium lamp for background correction and a Hg hollow cathode lamp (Hamamatsu Photonics K.K., Japan). The operational conditions were: wavelength, 253.7 nm; spectral band pass, 0.7 nm; lamp current, 6 mA. All measurements were made in continuous mode. A QTA of 14.7 cm in length and 2.4 mm in diameter was mounted in the spectrometer’s flame compartment, positioned in the optical path and maintained at room temperature. Argon, with a purity of 99.996% (Linde, Brazil), was used as the carrier gas.

Two photochemical vapor generation set-ups coupled to a cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometer were used in this work, one with pre-concentration in a gold trap and one without. A photochemical reactor using a low pressure Hg vapor UV lamp (254 nm, 15 W, Cole Parmer, USA) was constructed. Samples were pumped through a quartz tube (2 mm i.d. × 3 mm o.d. × 200 cm long) placed in parallel around the lamp. The resulting internal volume was 26.0 mL. A peristaltic pump (Ismatec, Cole Parmer, USA) delivered the samples to the reactor. A 43.1 mL min\textsuperscript{-1} flow of Ar purge gas was introduced into a gas-liquid separator where it merged with the effluent from the UV photoreactor, transporting the volatile species directly to the QTA. Figure 1A schematically illustrates the system used. The gas flow rate was controlled by a flow meter (Cole Parmer, USA).

The pre-concentration system presented in Figure 1B was manufactured by our research group. Thus, vapor from the gas-liquid separator was conducted to a column containing approximately 0.2 g of Au. The column was then subjected to heating by means of two halogen 300 W lamps, which were located laterally next to the column (Figure 1C) in order to ensure the complete and quick release of Hg and transport to the QTA. Immediately after heating, a cooler
was activated for the cooling system, leaving it ready for a new cycle. The samples were weighed in a microbalance Ohaus Adventurer AR 2140 (Pine Brook, USA) with a precision of (0.0001 g). An ultrasonic bath (Model Q335D, Quimis, Brazil) was used.

Reagents and samples

Analytical grade reagents were used in all experiments. All solutions were prepared using deionized water obtained by a water distiller MA078 (Marconi, Brazil), subsequently deionized by passing through a column CS1800 (Permution, Brazil). A stock solution (1000 mg L\(^{-1}\)) of inorganic mercury was prepared by dissolution of a Titrisol ampoule (Merck, Germany) in 0.5% (v/v) H\(\text{NO}_3\). Standard solutions containing 1000 mg L\(^{-1}\) of CH\(_3\)Hg\(^+\) and 400 mg L\(^{-1}\) of C\(_2\)H\(_5\)Hg\(^+\) were prepared by dissolution of methylmercury chloride (Fluka Analytical, Germany) and ethylmercury chloride (Analytical Supelco, USA), respectively, in bidistilled ethanol. Formic acid 85% (v/v) (Synth, Brazil) and ethanol 99.5% v/v (Synth, Brazil) were used as photochemical reagents. Both reagents were purified by sub-boiling distillation in a quartz system (Marconi, model MA-075, Brazil). The real concentration of formic acid was determined using acid-base titration with NaOH solution and phenolphthalein as indicator. The result was 82.0% (v/v) and this value was used for the calculation of concentration of solutions.

The following certified reference materials (CRMs) were used to evaluate the accuracy: DOLT-4 (dogfish liver) and DORM-3 (dogfish muscle) from the National Research Council Canada (NRCC, Canada). Ethanol fuel samples were acquired at a local gas station in Pelotas City, Brazil.

Sample preparation procedures

Biological samples were treated with formic acid for their solubilization based on the procedure described by Vieira et al.\(^{14}\) and Scriver et al.\(^{33}\) For this procedure, approximately 250 mg of samples were weighed into polyethylene flasks and 10 mL of 82.0% (v/v) HCOOH was added. The mixture was then placed in an ultrasonic bath at 40 °C for approximately 3 h to accelerate the solubilization process. After cooling, the flask was filled to a volume of 50 mL with deionized water. Before analysis, the samples were diluted with deionized water until concentration of 4.8% (v/v) HCOOH.

Ethanol fuel samples were prepared based on the procedure described by Silva et al.\(^{21}\) An aliquot of 25 mL of ethanol fuel was transferred to a polyethylene flask and 2.9 mL of 82.0% (v/v) HCOOH was added. The volume was completed to 50 mL with deionized water, resulting in a final concentration of 50% (v/v) ethanol fuel and 4.8% (v/v) HCOOH.

Methodology

The main operating parameters of the PVG system with and without pre-concentration in a gold trap were optimized.

A conventional PVG-CV AAS system was applied only for the determination of Hg in biological samples and the obtained results were compared with those from PVG-CV AAS with pre-concentration on a gold trap system. The samples of ethanol fuel were analyzed using PVG-CV AAS with pre-concentration and the results were compared with those obtained by Silva et al.\(^{21}\)

Conventional PVG-CV AAS

The following parameters were investigated: the influence of the HCOOH concentration, the irradiation time of the solutions to UV radiation and the carrier gas flow rate. All these studies were carried out in an aqueous medium with the addition of 10 μg L\(^{-1}\) Hg\(^{2+}\), CH\(_3\)Hg\(^+\) and CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Hg\(^+\). Subsequently, analytical curves were
constructed in a linear range of 2.5 to 10 μg L⁻¹ in order to evaluate the figures of merit for different species of Hg.

For instrumental measurements, 50 mL of each sample or standard solution was introduced into a quartz tube wrapped with UV light through a peristaltic pump. Subsequently, the sample solution from the photochemical reactor was directly mixed in a point of confluence with a flow of Ar gas and introduced into the GLS, where the volatile species formed were then directed to the QTA.

PVG-CV AAS with pre-concentration

For both samples, only the effect of the carrier gas flow rate was evaluated. This is an important parameter in pre-concentration systems because the gas is responsible for transporting the Hg vapor that is released from the Au column to the QTA. This study was conducted with solutions containing 0.25 μg L⁻¹ Hg²⁺. For this system, the irradiation time used was 2.7 min, considering the maximum speed of rotation of the peristaltic pump, due to the fact that all generated vapor was pre-concentrated in the Au column.

For instrumental measurements, the process was similar to that previously described for conventional PVG-CV AAS. The reading time of the signal, which is measured as integration area, was 120 s with a delay time of 45 s until the appearance of the signal, totaling 165 s of pre-concentration system heating and reaching a temperature of approximately 350 °C for desorption.

For biological samples, the analytical curves were prepared in 4.8% (v/v) HCOOH, while for ethanol fuel, the analytical curves were prepared in 4.8% (v/v) HCOOH and 50% (v/v) bidistilled ethanol. Earlier studies showed that the presence of ethanol together with HCOOH improve the sensitivity of the analytical curve.

Results and Discussion

Effect of HCOOH concentration (conventional PVG-CV AAS)

The efficiency of PVG in promoting the reduction of Hg and other elements depends on the type and concentration of low molecular weight acid in the solution. Formic acid is typically employed for PVG due to its ability to generate volatile species when combined with some elements, such as Hg. This study is extremely important for biological samples as usually have a high proportion of organic Hg species, thereby a method capable of determining the total concentration of Hg, a toxic element, is required. The effect of HCOOH on the absorbance signal of Hg⁰ was investigated in the range of 0.48 to 19.3% (v/v) using standard solutions containing 10 μg L⁻¹ of Hg species (Hg²⁺, CH₃Hg⁺ or CH₃CH₂Hg⁺). Figure 2 illustrates the results.

According to the results shown in Figure 2, it is observed that at HCOOH concentrations up to 2.4% (v/v), there is an increase of the absorbance signal for the solutions containing all species of Hg. From 4.8% (v/v) HCOOH onwards, a decrease of the signal for CH₃CH₂Hg⁺ occurs. However, at high concentrations, 19.3% (v/v), there is a decrease of the signal, mainly for the organic species of Hg, showing a decreasing tendency with increasing concentrations of HCOOH. The signal of Hg²⁺, CH₃Hg⁺ and CH₃CH₂Hg⁺ decreased approximately 10.5, 11.5 and 26.9%, respectively, from 4.8 to 19.3% (v/v) HCOOH. It was also noted an efficiency of generation of Hg⁰ from organic Hg species in the HCOOH medium. Thus, the concentration of 4.8% (v/v) HCOOH was chosen, even with a slight decrease of signal in comparison with the concentration of 2.4% (v/v) HCOOH.

Effect of irradiation time (conventional PVG-CV AAS)

The dose of UV radiation that the solutions and samples receive in the photochemical reactor determines the gain of radical formation and the efficiency of Hg reduction. The irradiation time or exposure time in the PVG system is obtained by altering the speed of the peristaltic pump. The effect of irradiation time was investigated for all species of Hg with a standard solution containing 10 μg L⁻¹ of Hg²⁺, CH₃Hg⁺ or CH₃CH₂Hg⁺ in 4.8% (v/v) HCOOH. The irradiation time ranged from 8.7 to 2.7 min (interval of speed pump from 30 to 99 rpm). It should be noted that the largest pump speed of rotation provides a lower exposure time to the UV radiation solutions. Figure 3 illustrates the results obtained.
According to Figure 3, increasing the speed of rotation of the peristaltic pump promotes an increase in the intensity of the absorbance signal for the three Hg species investigated. This is due to the largest sample flow rate through the photochemical reactor, causing an increased amount of radicals to be produced and therefore a more efficient reduction in Hg. A longer period of exposure time promotes a decrease in the absorbance signal and other reactions as a re-oxidation of Hg can occur. With the increase of gas flow rate, the signal has a tendency to decrease for all species of Hg. This fact is related to the dilution of the atomic cloud that reaches the QTA. Thus, a flow rate of 43.1 mL min\(^{-1}\) was selected because in this condition, intense and similar analytical signals to all species of Hg can be evaluated.

Effect of gas flow rate (PVG-CV AAS with pre-concentration)

Based on the previous studies reported by Silva et al., as there is no difference in the sensitivity between the different species of Hg, experiments using PVG-CV AAS with pre-concentration were performed only with a standard solution containing Hg\(^{2+}\). For this system, the optimization of the argon flow rate is very important because it is responsible for transporting the vapor of Hg previously pre-concentrated in the Au column to the QTA. Figure 5 shows the results.

For the interval of 62.6 to 95.4 mL min\(^{-1}\) of Ar flow rate, the absorbance signal remained constant. However, from 95.4 mL min\(^{-1}\) there is a decrease of the signal, possibly due to rapid dispersion and dilution of the atomic cloud for higher flow rates. Thus, the flow rate adopted for further studies was 83.7 mL min\(^{-1}\) as it presented a high intensity of the analytical signal and showed a higher precision compared with other flow rates investigated for this system.

Figures of merit and applications

Table 1 summarizes the experimental parameters after optimization for conventional PVG-CV AAS and PVG-CV AAS with pre-concentration.

For analysis of biological samples, the analytical curves were constructed using calibration standards of Hg\(^{2+}\) in...
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4.8% (v/v) HCOOH and for ethanol fuel, the calibration standards were prepared in 4.8% (v/v) HCOOH plus 50% (v/v) bidistilled ethanol. Figures of merit obtained for the determination of Hg in biological samples and ethanol fuel using both systems are summarized in Table 2.

The results showed that the pre-concentration system has a higher sensitivity in comparison to the conventional PVG system and this fact allows us to construct analytical curve at low concentrations and achieve lower limits of detection, as observed for biological samples.

Although PVG CV-AAS with pre-concentration presents a lower limit of detection, the order of magnitude of the values is the same when compared with the values obtained for the conventional system. The limit of detection was calculated as three times the standard deviation of ten measurements of the analytical blank divided by the slope of the analytical curve. As the sensitivity achieved by the pre-concentration system is high, the value of the limit of detection is affected by the high value of standard deviation because there is a variation between the instrumental measurements due to high detectability achieved by the system. The standard deviation values obtained for PVG-CV AAS and with pre-concentration were 0.00865 and 0.02241 for ethanol fuel and biological sample, respectively.

In order to compare the figures of merit obtained in this work, after a review of the literature, we found similar works and the findings are summarized in Table 3. In relation to the linear range and limits of detection presented, the pre-concentration system coupled to PVG used in this study allowed the calibration to be performed with standards solutions with low concentrations of Hg (0.2 µg L⁻¹) and to obtain lower limits of detection, a fact which was not observed for most previous studies. Thus, it is evident that the pre-concentration of Hg in an Au column associated with PVG is feasible and provides signals to real concentrations for < 1.0 µg L⁻¹ using atomic absorption spectrometry. This fact has not been reported experimentally, and until this moment, the determination of the real concentration of Hg in ethanol fuel samples has not been possible, as can be seen in the literature.

The accuracy of the conventional PVG system and with pre-concentration for the determination of total Hg in biological samples was evaluated by analyzing two CRMs. The results presented in Table 4 for concentrations of total Hg are in agreement with the certified values, considering a 95% level of confidence, for both systems. For PVG with pre-concentration, the relative standard deviations were < 11.0%, showing a slightly lower precision when compared with conventional PVG. This can occur due to the need of a dilution step to adjust the calibration range of the system. Despite this, both systems can be used to control Hg in biological samples.

For the determination of Hg in ethanol fuel, one sample was acquired at a local gas station in Pelotas City. In the absence of a CRM for this kind of sample,
which contain values for the control of Hg, the accuracy was evaluated by recovery tests. The result for spike recovery, as shown in Table 5, was 91%, indicating that the method can be used with security to determine Hg in low concentrations.

According to the results shown in Table 5, a low concentration of Hg was found in the sample, showing the need of a control of this toxic metal in these samples, since the cultivation process of sugar cane can promote the presence of this element in the final product. The PVG system with pre-concentration shows a high sensitivity and was suitable to determine Hg in concentrations below 0.1 μg L\(^{-1}\). The higher value of the relative standard deviation (RSD) observed for the sample without addition is due that the concentration found is close to the value of LOD.

The current specifications imposed by the National Agency of Petroleum, Natural Gas and Biofuels\(^7\) define limits for maximum concentration of Na, Cu and Fe in

### Table 3. Figures of merit for detection techniques for Hg determination in different samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Analytical technique</th>
<th>Linear range / (μg L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>LOD(_{\text{average}}) / (μg L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>LCE / (μg L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biological</td>
<td>PVG AAS</td>
<td>0.5-25</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological</td>
<td>PVG-PD-OES</td>
<td>5-1000</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>PVG-GF AAS and pre-concentration</td>
<td>0.1-5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water and biological</td>
<td>PVG-AFS</td>
<td>up 40</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmetics</td>
<td>PVG-ICP MS</td>
<td>up 8</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerin</td>
<td>PVG-CV AAS</td>
<td>2.5-10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol fuel</td>
<td>PVG-CV AAS</td>
<td>2.5-10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>PVG-AFS</td>
<td>up 25</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>PVG-FAPES</td>
<td>1-25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccines</td>
<td>PVG-ICP OES</td>
<td>0.5-10</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>PVG-AFS</td>
<td>0.25-15</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinegar</td>
<td>PVG-AFS</td>
<td>up 1000</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water and biological</td>
<td>PVG-AFS</td>
<td>0.02-8</td>
<td>0.00008</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccines</td>
<td>PVG-DBD-OES</td>
<td>2-50</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>PVG-GF AAS</td>
<td>up 4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphtha and petroleum</td>
<td>PVG-AAS</td>
<td>25-100</td>
<td>0.5-0.6</td>
<td>≤ LOD (naptha) 76 (petroleum)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological and sediment</td>
<td>PVG-AAS</td>
<td>10-200</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol fuel</td>
<td>CV AAS</td>
<td>1-25</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>≤ LOD</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOD: Limit of detection; LCE: lower concentration experimental; PVG AAS: photochemical vapor generation atomic absorption spectrometry; PVG-PD-OES: PVG point discharge optical emission spectrometry; PVG-GF AAS: PVG graphite furnace AAS; PVG-AFS: PVG atomic fluorescence spectrometry; PVG-ICP MS: PVG inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry; PVG-CV AAS: PVG cold vapor AAS; PVG-FAPES: PVG furnace atomization plasma emission spectrometry; PVG-DBD-OES: PVG-dielectric barrier discharge-OES.

### Table 4. Concentration of Hg in CRMs of biological samples by conventional PVG-CV AAS and with pre-concentration (n = 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Certified value / (mg kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Concentration of Hg (average) ± SD (RSD / %) / (mg kg(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conventional PVG-CV AAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOLT 4</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.22</td>
<td>2.46 ± 0.13 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DORM 3</td>
<td>0.382 ± 0.060</td>
<td>0.362 ± 0.024 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Results of concentration of Hg total in ethanol fuel by PVG-CV AAS with pre-concentration (n = 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Concentration of Hg (average) ± SD (RSD / %) / (μg L(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol fuel</td>
<td>0.095 ± 0.018 (18.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^7\) Oreste et al. Vol. 28, No. 9, 2017 1785
ethanol biofuel (anhydrous or hydrated). However, it did not establish limits of concentration for elements of environmental interest, such as Hg, for example, and it is evident that there is a need for the control of this analyte, which even at low concentrations, can be harmful to the environment and human health. Until now, no work in the literature has reported the quantification of Hg in ethanol.

Conclusions

Photochemical reduction using UV radiation combined with a CV AAS technique has been successfully applied and proven to be an excellent alternative for the determination of total Hg in biological and ethanol fuel samples. The two systems employed (conventional and with pre-concentration) proved suitable for the quantification of Hg in lower concentrations and effective in reducing inorganic and organic species of Hg. With the PVG-CV AAS pre-concentration system, it was possible to achieve a high detectability, higher than the conventional method. These methods do not require an extensive sample preparation and eliminate the use of reducing agents.
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