The Effect of Different Chemical Treatments on the Structure and Stability of Aqueous Dispersion of Iron- and Iron Oxide-Filled Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes
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The effect of five different chemical treatments (HNO₃, H₂SO₄, H₂O₂, HNO₃ + H₂SO₄ and HNO₃ + HCl) on the homogeneity, surface chemistry, structure and dispersibility in water of iron- and iron oxide-filled multi-walled carbon nanotube samples was evaluated by X-ray diffractometry (XRD), Raman, UV-Visible and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopies, thermogravimetric analysis (TG) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The results indicate that the chemical treatments are generally effective in removing non-nanotube carbonaceous species present in the sample. With the exception of the H₂O₂ treatment, the chemical treatments also offer good removal of free iron-species. Besides the increase in the sample homogeneity, the chemical treatments promoted an increase in the carboxyl and hydroxyl groups at the carbon nanotube surface, what directly affects the dispersibility of these carbon nanotubes in water. Dispersions of 2.24 × 10⁻¹ g L⁻¹ were obtained for the treated samples with a mixture of nitric and sulfuric acid.
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Introduction

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have received much attention in the last years due to their extraordinary chemical and physical properties, arising from the combination of their typical morphology, structure and size.¹ Many different processes have been described for the synthesis of CNTs. Among them, one of the most versatile is the catalytic chemical vapor deposition (CVD), which is based on the decomposition of a carbon source (usually a hydrocarbon) over metal nanocatalysts.² Usually, the resulting samples are a mixture of CNTs and some impurities, such as other carbonaceous materials (graphite, amorphous carbon, fullerenes, carbon nano-structures, etc.) and the catalyst metal particles.³ These impurities are undesirable for a variety of applications, and different physical and/or chemical treatments are usually performed to remove them.⁴ However, it should be noted that these “impurities” do not interfere in a number of applications, and sometimes they even improve some properties.

The most common and widely used purification methods are based on oxidative treatments that are aimed...
at the selective oxidation of different types of carbonaceous structures, followed by acid treatment to remove the catalyst particles. For example, amorphous carbon is easily eliminated due to its high density of defects, which allow its oxidation under mild conditions (while CNTs are not themselves oxidized). The most difficult problem is related to the removal of graphite-like polyhedral particles whose oxidation rates are similar to that of CNTs. In addition, it is common to find metal catalyst particles that are encapsulated by layers of amorphous carbon and/or shells of graphite. It is noteworthy that these impurities present broad size distributions and different types of defects and curvatures, which hinder the development of unified and efficient methods for the purification of these materials. Moreover, any type of post-synthesis treatment on CNT samples must be carefully carried out to avoid further losses or structural changes in CNTs. The optimum conditions for the post-treatment of CNT samples are very specific for each type of sample (single- or multi-walled CNTs, preparation method, type of impurities and catalyst, etc.), what means that systematic studies are necessary to find those conditions.

Chemical treatments are also routinely employed to create functional groups on the surface of CNTs, resulting in functionalized CNTs. Several chemical modifications are carried out to obtain good and stable dispersions of nanotubes in different solvents. For example, the addition of carboxyl and hydroxyl groups on the surface of CNTs facilitates their homogeneous dispersion in polar media. The good dispersibility of CNTs directly affects their processing, what is a very crucial step for several applications (e.g., in composites). Another widely used approach to stabilize the CNT dispersion is the utilization of different types of surfactants, polymers and proteins that physically adsorb onto the surface of CNTs and stabilize the dispersion through electrostatic repulsion.

The preparation of a stable CNT dispersion is not a trivial process, especially aqueous dispersions. Two types of competitive interactions influence the dispersibility of the nanotubes: van der Waals forces between CNTs and interactions between CNTs and their surroundings. Several approaches have been taken to improve the stability of a CNT dispersion, for example sonication, functionalization, use of surfactants, among many others. The quality of these dispersions are usually quantified by techniques such as absorption, fluorescence and Raman spectroscopies, as well as techniques like transmission electron microscopy (TEM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM). However, absorption spectroscopy in the ultraviolet-visible-near infrared (UV-Vis-NIR) range is described as the most accurate of these techniques. Individual CNTs exhibit characteristic absorption bands in the UV-Vis-NIR region that are attributed to the van Hove singularities for unidimensional structures. However, only few CNT electronic transitions are active in the range of 200 to 1200 nm. These characteristics allow the establishment of a direct relationship between the quantity of individually dispersed nanotubes in solution and the intensity of the band in the absorption spectrum.

Recently, some of us have reported the synthesis and characterization of iron- and iron oxide-filled multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs), which are based on the pyrolysis of pure ferrocene in a poor oxygen atmosphere. This is a very interesting and specific CNT sample because it presents magnetic properties due to the iron-based fillers. The specific synergistic combination of the electrical and mechanical CNT-based properties and the optical and magnetic iron and iron oxide properties result in an unusual multifunctional material, which has been widely used in our research group as a gas sensor and in electrical memory devices, as a filler in organic photovoltaic devices, in conducting-polymer and metal nanoparticle-based nanocomposites, and in electrochemical electrodes. For many of these applications, specific chemical treatments must be performed first in order to remove impurities, add functionalities and/or stabilize the CNT dispersion. In this paper, we describe the effect of five different chemical treatments on the composition, structure and aqueous dispersibility of the iron- and iron oxide-filled MWCNT sample. Although several articles have been recently published focusing on the different chemical treatment of CNTs, there are no systematic data on the effect of these chemical treatment methods on metal-filled CNT samples.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

Nitric acid (Carlo Erba), sulfuric acid (Merck), hydrochloric acid (Vetec), sodium dodecyl sulphate (Aldrich) and a 30 wt.% solution of hydrogen peroxide (Vetec) were used as received. Water was deionized using a Milli-Q Ultra-Pure-Water Purification System. Ferrocene (Fluka) was purified by sublimation before the use. Pristine iron- and iron oxide-filled MWCNTs were synthesized by CVD, starting from pure ferrocene according to our previous report. Typically, a quartz tube (36 mm in diameter and 750 mm in length) was placed in a two-stage furnace system, and argon (99% purity) was passed through the tube. The purified ferrocene was placed inside the first
furnace and sublimed by raising the temperature of this furnace to 300 °C. The vapor was carried by the argon flow into the second furnace maintained at 900 °C. After 2 h, the furnace system was turned off. The pyrolysis yielded large amounts of carbon deposited in the quartz tube wall inside the second furnace. The most uniform sample was obtained in the center of the second furnace. However, in this work, it was collected the product that was produced in all furnace regions. This pristine sample is referred to here as p-CNT.

**Chemical treatment**

The chemical treatments were performed by adding 15 mg of p-CNT in 40 mL of five different aqueous solutions (H₂O₂ 31 wt.%, HNO₃ 3 mol L⁻¹, a mixture (1:1 in volume) of HNO₃ 3 mol L⁻¹ + HCl 1 mol L⁻¹, H₂SO₄ 3 mol L⁻¹ and a mixture (1:1 in volume) of H₂SO₄ 3 mol L⁻¹ + HNO₃ 3 mol L⁻¹). The mixtures were heated and maintained under reflux for 6 h (except for the H₂O₂ solution in which the reflux was maintained for 2 h). After this time, the insoluble powder was separated by centrifugation, washed several times with deionized water (until neutral pH) and dried under air at 40 °C. The samples is referred to here as CNT/HP (HP = hydrogen peroxide), CNT/NA (NA = nitric acid), CNT/NA+CA (CA = hydrochloric acid), CNT/SA (SA = sulfuric acid) and CNT/SA+NA for the samples that were treated with hydrogen peroxide, nitric acid, a mixture of nitric and hydrochloric acids, sulfuric acid and a mixture of sulfuric and nitric acid, respectively.

**Aqueous dispersion of CNTs**

In order to prepare an aqueous dispersion of pristine and treated-CNTs, an amount of 1.2 mg of CNTs was mixed in 4.0 mL of water, and the mixture was placed in an ultrasound bath (UNIQUE-USC 1880, 154 W - delivering 9240 J min⁻¹). The effects of the sonication time and energy on the stability of the dispersion were evaluated using 4.0 mL of 0.3 g L⁻¹ of CNT dispersions, by monitoring the intensity of the absorption band at 260 nm (characteristic of de-bundled CNTs). The effect of the surfactant sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS) was also studied. In this case, an amount of 1.8 mg of SDS (SDS/CNTs ratio of 1:1.5 in weight) was added to the aqueous dispersion of CNTs before the sonication.

The amount of CNTs that was effectively dispersed was gravimetrically evaluated in triplicate. A 4.0 mL aliquot of 0.3 g L⁻¹ of CNT suspension was sonicated for 2 h, and then centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was subsequently removed, and the precipitate dried in an oven at 120 °C for 24 h, cooled at room temperature and weighed. The effective amount of dispersed CNTs in each solution was estimated by the subtraction of the initial weight of the used CNTs to prepare the dispersion and the weight of the separated CNTs by centrifugation.

The stability of each CNT dispersion was evaluated for 120 h by measuring the intensity of the absorption band at 260 nm in specific intervals.

**Characterization**

The Raman spectra were obtained in a Renishaw Raman Image spectrophotometer coupled to an optical microscope that focused the incident radiation down to a spot of ca. 1 μm. A He-Ne laser (632.8 nm) was used with an incidence power of 10 mW over the 3000-200 cm⁻¹ range.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed in a Shimadzu XD-3A diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation, 40 kV, 40 mA, at a scan rate of 0.02 (in 2θ / degree) and a step of 10 s per point. Powder silicon reflections were used for 2θ calibration.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) experiments were performed using a commercial system (VG Microtech ESCA3000 equipped with Mg Kα and Al Kα radiation sources) with a base pressure of 3 × 10⁻¹⁰ mbar. The spectra were obtained at a takeoff angle of 45° (normal) using a hemispherical energy analyzer with an overall energy resolution of ca. 0.8 eV. The binding energy scales were referenced by setting the C1s binding energy to 285.0 eV.

The XPS analyses were performed by assuming core level spectra with Gaussian line shapes after standard Shirley background subtraction. Samples were prepared by dispersion on an aluminum sample holder prior to each experiment.

Thermogravimetric analyses (TG) were carried out in SDT Q600 equipment (TA Instruments) under an atmosphere of synthetic air (White Martins, 100 mL min⁻¹) at a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ from room temperature to 400 °C and scan rate of 2 °C min⁻¹ from 400 to 900 °C.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained from deposited samples over a metal substrate using a Jeol JSM 6360 LV microscope.

UV-Visible spectra were collected from the aqueous dispersion of CNTs in a Shimadzu UV-2450 spectrophotometer, using air as reference, in the range of 190-900 nm.

**Results and Discussion**

The studied pristine material in this work is, in fact, a mixture of MWCNTs, amorphous carbon, graphite
nanopolyhedra and different iron-based compounds, mainly encapsulated by different carbonaceous species, as previously described. The obtained black deposit in all the quartz tube extension was deliberately mixed (in spite of our previous knowledge that the materials are different in each tube region) in order to obtain the “dirtiest” sample and verify the effect of the chemical treatment on it.

Figure 1 contains the normalized X-ray diffractograms of the samples, showing the occurrence of the d_{002} peaks (d ca. 0.34 nm) characteristic of MWCNTs, as well as several other diffraction peaks from iron-based compounds (mainly α-Fe, α-Fe_{2}O_{3} (hematite), Fe_{3}O_{4} (magnetite) and Fe_{x}C (cementite)). In order to perform a comparison between the amount of carbonaceous and ferrous materials present in the pristine sample and in those obtained after chemical treatment, the ratio between the intensity of the peak at 2θ = 26.24 (due to the (002) planes of graphitic-like carbon, marked with a # in Figure 1) and the peak at 2θ = 44.72 (due to the (100) planes of α-Fe, market with a * in Figure 1) (C_{002}/Fe_{100} ratio) was calculated. The values are shown in Table 1. As can be seen, except for the H_{2}O_{2}-treated sample, the acid treatment promoted an increase in the C_{002}/Fe_{100} ratio, suggesting that a fraction of the iron species present in the samples was removed. According to the XRD data, the treatment with a mixture of H_{2}SO_{4} and HNO_{3} was the most efficient to remove the metallic species. It is believed that the originally capped metallic species by carbonaceous structures are dissolved and removed during the washing steps. Some acidic solutions become pale yellow after the treatment, confirming this hypothesis.

The significant decrease in the C_{002}/Fe_{100} ratio in the H_{2}O_{2}-treated sample indicates that a large amount of carbonaceous structure was removed. It is believed that the presence of a high amount of iron species (including Fe(II) in magnetite) aids in the process of carbon oxidation by H_{2}O_{2}. Several works have shown that the presence of iron-based particles has a large influence on the oxidation of amorphous carbon because these particles act as catalysts on the so-called Fenton reaction, producing the powerful oxidant hydroxyl radical (•OH).

Figure 2 shows the thermogravimetric data for the samples. From the thermogravimetric data, it is possible to obtain both the oxidation temperature (T_{o}) (defined as the maximum peak temperature in the DTG curve (dm/dT_{max})) and the content of residue (M_{res}) remaining after the oxidation process. The presence of multiple-components in the sample (nanotubes, amorphous carbon and graphitic nanoparticles) can be also detected by the presence of more than one peak in the DTG curve. The obtained data from the TG and DTG curves are summarized in Table 1.

The TG curve of the p-CNT sample (Figure 2a) presents a large and asymmetric DTG peak at 400-600°C which is associated with a oxidation event and can be deconvoluted in three Lorentzian peaks, confirming the occurrence of different carbonaceous structures in the samples. The medium T_{o} value for this sample is 496 °C, although the three peaks in the DTG can be associated to three different carbonaceous structures with T_{o} at 433, 456 and 496 °C, respectively. The 41 wt.% of the residue that remain at 800 °C are due to the iron-species present

---

Table 1. Some data obtained from XRD, Raman spectroscopy, XPS and TG for the iron- and iron oxide-filled carbon nanotubes before and after the chemical treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>XRD C_{002}/Fe_{100} ratio</th>
<th>Raman I_{D}/I_{G} ratio</th>
<th>Raman I_{D}/I_{G} ratio</th>
<th>%C sp²</th>
<th>TG T_{max} / °C</th>
<th>TG residue / %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p-CNT</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT/HP</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT/NA</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT/NA+CA</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT/SA</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT/SA+NA</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the sample. After the chemical treatments, $T_D$ of all samples increased (see Table 1) and the DTG peak became more symmetric and sharp, indicating more homogeneous samples. This effect is attributed to the removal of other forms of thermally unstable carbon that decompose at temperatures below 500 °C.\cite{1,5,34} The increase in $T_D$ of all samples indicates that the disordered carbon, which is oxidized at lower temperatures, was removed from the samples after the chemical treatment. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the DTG peak is an indicative of the sample homogeneity (a lower FWHM indicates a sharper and more discrete event, characteristic of more uniform sample). After the chemical treatments, all samples (except for CNT/SA) presented a single DTG peak. FWHMs were 226, 104, 79, 87, 98 and 75 °C for p-CNT, CNT/HP, CNT/NA, CNT/NA+CA, CNT/SA and CNT/SA+NA samples, respectively, indicating that the nitric acid treatment (both pure or mixed with sulfuric acid) is a better treatment to remove non-nanotube species from p-CNT.

Figure 2. TG and DTG curves of pristine carbon nanotubes (a) and carbon nanotubes after the chemical treatments: (b) hydrogen peroxide (CNT/HP), (c) nitric acid (CNT/NA), (d) nitric + hydrochloridric acid (CNT/NA+CA), (e) sulfuric acid (CNT/SA) and (f) sulfuric + nitric acid (CNT/SA+NA).
In which concerns the amount of remaining residues after the different treatments, the obtained results by TG agree with those observed by XRD: i) the amount of residues increased after treatment with H$_2$O$_2$ (59 wt.% vs. 41 wt.% of the p-CNT), corroborating the XRD data and confirming that only the non-nanotube carbon was removed by the H$_2$O$_2$ treatment. This means that the C/metallic species ratio in the sample decreased (as observed by XRD), resulting in a higher amount of remaining residue after the air oxidation of the carbonaceous compounds; ii) the amount of residues decreased after the acidic treatments (16, 15, 27 and 14 wt.% for samples CNT/NA, CNT/NA+CA, CNT/SA and CNT/SA+NA, respectively). This means that part of the metallic species in the samples was removed. It is interesting that the TG data clearly show that the mixture of nitric and sulfuric acids was the best treatment for the removal of both the metallic species (again in accordance with the XRD data) and the amorphous carbon. This coincidence indicates that, as expected, the attacked metallic species by the acid correspond to the metallic fraction that was originally capped by the easily oxidized carbonaceous structure. This becomes unprotected after the carbon oxidation. It is important to notice that the amount of residues remained the same for obtained samples with prolonged reaction times (see Figure S1, Supplementary Information). This confirms that 6 h of treatment are enough under the experimental conditions that are here reported.

Finally, it is interesting to observe that all the chemically treated samples presented a small and continuous weight-loss (ca. 8 wt.%) starting at ca. 150 ºC and detectable until the beginning of the oxidation process at ca. 405 ºC. This weight loss is attributed to the decomposition of grafted functional groups that were created on the surface of the carbonaceous materials after the chemical treatment.

The normalized Raman spectra of the samples are shown in Figure 3. The spectra consist of four well-known and characteristic CNT bands: 1578 cm$^{-1}$ (G band, attributed to in-plane C-C symmetric stretching vibrations in graphene sheets), 1335 cm$^{-1}$ (D band, usually attributed to the presence of disordered carbon, as well as to the structural disorder, such as defective rings, presence of heteroatoms, dangling bond and vacancies, present in the graphene sheet), 2655 cm$^{-1}$ (G’ or 2D band, a second order band that is an overtone of the D band) and a weak shoulder at higher frequencies of the G-band at 1612 cm$^{-1}$ (D’ band, a double resonance feature also induced by the presence of disorder and defects). The ratio between the D and G bands ($I_D/I_G$) as well as between the D’ and G bands ($I_{D’}/I_G$), can be used to estimate the degree of structural disorder and/or amorphous compounds in sp$^2$-based carbons.$^{38}$ Both the $I_D/I_G$ and $I_{D’}/I_G$ values for samples are shown in Table 1. It is noticeable that the intensity of both D and D’ bands increased after the chemical treatment, except for the H$_2$SO$_4$-treated sample. Comparing the different samples, a higher $I_D/I_G$ ratio was found for the H$_2$O$_2$-treated CNTs (152 vs. 0.79 for the p-CNTs), followed by the treated sample with a mixture of H$_2$SO$_4$ and HNO$_3$ (1.13). According to the XRD and TG data previously discussed, these two treatments are more efficient at the removal of amorphous carbon. The increase in the $I_D/I_G$ values can be attributed to the creation of defects in the sp$^2$ network of the CNT walls. This was confirmed by XPS (as will be discussed further) and is an expected result because it corresponds to the most oxidative treatments.

![Figure 3. Raman spectra of pristine carbon nanotubes (a) and carbon nanotubes after the chemical treatments: (b) hydrogen peroxide (CNT/HP), (c) nitric acid (CNT/NA), (d) nitric + hydrochloridric acid (CNT/NA+CA), (e) sulfuric acid (CNT/SA) and (f) sulfuric + nitric acid (CNT/SA+NA).](image-url)
as carboxylic acid and hydroxyl groups,\(^{41}\) whose amount increased notably after the chemical treatment. The relative amounts of sp\(^2\) and sp\(^3\) carbon atoms were evaluated from the corresponding XPS peak areas and the ratio found after the chemical treatments are listed in Table 1. As expected, the amount of sp\(^3\) carbon (and subsequently the amount of surface functional groups) is higher for the samples treated with H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and a mixture of HNO\(_3\) and H\(_2\)SO\(_4\).

Figures 5 and 6 show the SEM images of the p-CNTs and the obtained samples after the chemical treatments. The p-CNT is a heterogeneous sample that is mainly composed of MWCNTs, as can be seen in Figures 5a and 5b. Other structures such as spherical aggregates and plates can be clearly seen in the images, corresponding to amorphous carbon, graphitic structures and non-encapsulated iron-based species. A noticeable decrease in the fraction of
CNTs is observed in the sample after $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ treatment (Figures 5c and 5d). The CNT/HP sample is rich in non-nanotube species (mainly large plates) corresponding to the iron-based species, as observed by XRD and TG data. All acid treatments produced the most homogeneous and nanotube-rich samples (Figures 5e, 5f and 6), with a significant removal of the non-nanotube species.

As previously mentioned, the preparation of stable aqueous dispersions of CNTs is considered a prerequisite for several practical applications. So, it was conducted some experiments aiming stable aqueous dispersion of the samples p-CNT, CNT/HP and CNT/SA+NA. The effect of the addition of the surfactant SDS on the preparation and stability of an aqueous dispersion of
these three CNT samples was studied. The first step was to evaluate the evolution of the CNT dispersions during sonication. Figure 7 illustrates the UV-Vis spectra of a 0.3 g L⁻¹ dispersion of p-CNTs/SDS in water at different sonication times. All spectra presented a typical absorption band at 260 nm, which is attributed to a π-π* transition characteristic of MWCNTs. The provided mechanical energy by the ultrasound breaks the van der Waals interactions between CNTs, promoting the CNT dispersion. This results in an increase in the intensity of the absorption band (at 260 nm) in the UV-Vis spectrum. It is clear from the spectra presented in Figure 7 that better dispersions can be achieved after 20 min of sonication (184.8 kJ), with the best value being reached after 120 min (1.1 MJ).
Similar trends were found for other dispersions, showing that the needed sonication time to reach the best dispersion is 120 min (1.1 MJ). After this time, the maximum amount of CNTs that can be effectively dispersed was found to be $4.7 \times 10^2$, $2.17 \times 10^4$, $2.24 \times 10^4$, $2.03 \times 10^4$, $9.0 \times 10^2$ and $1.34 \times 10^3$ g L$^{-1}$ for the p-CNTs, CNT/HP, CNT/SA+NA, p-CNTs/SDS, CNT/HP/SDS and CNT/SA+NA/SDS samples, respectively.

In order to achieve stability of the CNT dispersion, saturated dispersions were prepared, and the absorbance at 260 nm was monitored for 120 h. The data are presented in Figure 8. It is clear that the prepared dispersions from p-CNTs present very low stability. Moreover, the chemical modifications provide significant improvement in dispersibility, especially the modification that was performed by the treatment with a mixture of nitric and sulfuric acids. The addition of SDS enhanced further the dispersibility and the stability of p-CNTs and CNT-NA+SA dispersions. However, the presence of SDS has the opposite effect in the CNT/HP dispersion. It is believed that this behavior is due to the high degree of carboxyl and hydroxyl groups that are present on the surface of the nanotubes after the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$-based treatment. The excess of polar groups on the surface of CNTs suppresses the coating of CNTs by the non-polar fraction of the surfactant and increases the interaction with the polar fraction of SDS. This leaves the hydrophobic fraction of SDS in contact with water, decreasing the CNT-solvent interaction and resulting in the precipitation of CNTs.

According to the results shown in Figure 8, the best stability after 120 h was found in dispersions from the CNT/SA+NA, p-CNT/SDS and CNT/SA+NA/SDS samples, which maintained 95, 60 and 65% of the initial amount of dispersed CNTs, respectively.

**Conclusions**

This work reports, for the first time, the effect of five different chemical treatments on the modification of iron- and iron oxide-filled MWCNTs. Data from techniques such as XRD, Raman, XPS and SEM showed that the treatment with an aqueous mixture of sulfuric and nitric acids results in a more homogeneous sample that produces the most stable aqueous dispersion. This treatment facilitates the elimination of non-nanotube carbonaceous materials and encapsulated iron species, yielding MWCNTs filled by iron species and containing carboxyl and hydroxyl functional groups on the surface.

**Supplementary Information**

TG curves of carbon nanotubes after chemical treatment (CNT/SA+NA) for 6 and 10 h are available free of charge as PDF file at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br.
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