

Comparison of Two Methods to Quantify 2,6-Dichlorophenol from Tick *Amblyomma cajennense* by GC/MS-SIM

**Kennedy K. Gachoka,^a Deomar P. Costa,^b Carla C. B. Louly,^a Lorena L. Ferreira,^a
 Lígia M. F. Borges,^c Lourival C. Faria^b and Pedro H. Ferri^{*,b}**

^aEscola de Veterinária and ^bInstituto de Química, Universidade Federal de Goiás,
 CP 131, 74001-970 Goiânia-GO, Brazil

^cInstituto de Patologia Tropical e Saúde Pública, Universidade Federal de Goiás,
 Rua 235, Setor Universitário, 74605-050 Goiânia-GO, Brazil

Table S1. Cochran's test results of 2,6-DCP quantification by internal standard method

2,6-DCP / (ng mL ⁻¹)	Measurements						Average	sd	Variance
	1	2	3	4	5	6			
5	0.098	0.098	0.098	0.097	0.097	0.098	0.098	0.001	2.67×10 ⁻⁷
8	0.113	0.112	0.113	0.112	0.113	0.113	0.113	0.001	2.67×10 ⁻⁷
10	0.148	0.152	0.150	0.150	0.147	0.151	0.150	0.002	3.47×10 ⁻⁶
30	0.301	0.301	0.297	0.297	0.298	0.298	0.299	0.002	3.47×10 ⁻⁶
50	0.456	0.456	0.456	0.455	0.454	0.456	0.456	0.001	7.00×10 ⁻⁷
100	0.846	0.845	0.846	0.846	0.845	0.846	0.846	0.001	2.67×10 ⁻⁷
Σ variance									8.43×10 ⁻⁶
Cochran (experimental)									0.412
Cochran (critical; k = 6; n = 6; α = 0.05)									0.445

Table S2. Dixon's test results of 2,6-DCP quantification by internal standard method

2,6-DCP/(ng mL ⁻¹)	Measurements						Q _{95%} (experimental)	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	Up	Down
5	0.097	0.097	0.098	0.098	0.098	0.098	0	0
8	0.112	0.112	0.113	0.113	0.113	0.113	0	0
10	0.147	0.148	0.150	0.150	0.151	0.152	0.2	0.2
30	0.297	0.297	0.298	0.298	0.301	0.301	0	0
50	0.454	0.455	0.456	0.456	0.456	0.456	0	0.5
100	0.845	0.845	0.846	0.846	0.846	0.846	0	0

Q_{95%} (critical, H = 6; α = 0.05) = 0.63

*e-mail: pedro@quimica.ufg.br

Table S3. Grubb's test results of 2,6-DCP quantification by internal standard method

2,6-DCP/(ng mL ⁻¹)	Average	sd	G _{95%} (experimental)					
			1	2	3	4	5	6
5	0.098	0.001	-1.29	-1.29	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65
8	0.113	0.001	-1.29	-1.29	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65
10	0.150	0.002	-1.43	-0.90	0.18	0.18	0.72	1.25
30	0.299	0.002	-0.90	-0.90	-0.36	-0.36	1.25	1.25
50	0.456	0.001	-1.79	-0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
100	0.846	0.001	-1.29	-1.29	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65

G_{95%} experimental = (Xi-average)/sd; G_{95%} (critical, n = 6, α = 0.05) = 1.89

Table S4. Cochran's test results of 2,6-DCP quantification by standard addition method

2,6-DCP/(ng mL ⁻¹)	Measurements					Average	sd	Variance
	1	2	3	4	5			
0	2565	2893	2148	2572	2887	2613.0	305.649	9.34×10 ⁴
25	7747	7745	7752	7749	7747	7748.0	2.646	7.00
50	12078	13348	13782	12085	13372	12933.0	796.222	6.34×10 ⁵
100	23250	25060	24226	23150	25090	24155.2	939.061	8.82×10 ⁵
150	33620	35635	34422	33710	35535	34584.4	965.455	9.32×10 ⁵
∑ variance								2541337
Cochran (experimental)								0.367
Cochran (critical: k = 5; n = 5; α = 0.05)								0.544

Table S5. Dixon's test results of 2,6-DCP quantification by standard addition method

2,6-DCP/(ng mL ⁻¹)	Measurements					Q _{95%} (experimental)	
	1	2	3	4	5	Up	Down
5	2148	2565	2572	2887	2893	0.01	0.56
8	7745	7747	7747	7749	7752	0.43	0.29
10	12078	12085	13348	13372	13782	0.24	0.00
30	23150	23250	24226	25060	25090	0.02	0.05
50	33620	33710	34422	35535	35635	0.05	0.04

Q_{95%} (critical, H = 5; α = 0.05) = 0.71

Table S6. Grubb's test results of 2,6-DCP quantification by standard addition method

2,6-DCP/(ng mL ⁻¹)	Average	sd	G _{95%} (experimental)				
			1	2	3	4	5
5	2613.000	305.649	-1.52	-0.16	-0.13	0.90	0.92
8	7748.000	2.646	-1.13	-0.38	-0.38	0.38	1.51
10	12933.000	796.222	-1.07	-1.07	0.52	0.55	1.07
30	24155.200	939.061	-1.07	-0.96	0.08	0.96	1.00
50	34584.400	965.455	-1.00	-0.91	-0.17	0.98	1.09

G_{95%} experimental = (Xi-average)/sd; G_{95%} (critical, n = 5, α = 0.05) = 1.71

Table S7. 2,6-DCP contents^a (ng mL⁻¹ *per* female) in hexane extracts determined by internal standard and standard addition methods from two *A. cajennense* populations

Population (extract)	Quantification method		Average
	Internal standard (n = 6)	Standard addition (n = 10)	
I	2.17 ± 0.13	2.19 ± 0.14	2.18 ± 0.14 A
II	2.13 ± 0.04	2.12 ± 0.07	2.12 ± 0.06 A
Average	2.15 ± 0.09 a	2.15 ± 0.12 a	

^aAverages followed by the same capital letter in the columns and by the same small letter in the rows did not share significant differences at 5% probability by Tukey test.