

## Simultaneous Determination of Hydride and Non-Hydride Forming Elements by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry

Z. Benzo,<sup>a,b</sup> M. N. Matos-Reyes,<sup>a</sup> M. L. Cervera<sup>\*a</sup> and M. de la Guardia<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Analytical Chemistry, University of Valencia, 50th Dr. Moliner Street, 46100 Burjassot, Valencia, Spain

<sup>b</sup>Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, IVIC, Carretera Panamericana, km 11, Altos de Pipe, 1020-A Caracas, Venezuela

Foram estudadas as características de funcionamento de um sistema de nebulização duplo e as condições químicas para a geração de hidretos, assim como as figuras de mérito para os elementos formadores e não formadores de hidretos. Foi caracterizado o desempenho analítico do sistema de nebulização pelos limites de detecção de 0,002 até 0,0026  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  para os elementos formadores de hidretos e entre 0,0034 e 0,0121  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  para os elementos não formadores de hidretos, o desvio padrão relativo para 10 replicatas ao nível de 0,25  $\text{mg mL}^{-1}$  e percentagens de recuperação entre 97 e 103%. A aplicabilidade do sistema foi demonstrada na determinação simultânea de Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Mo, Ni, Zn, As, Bi, Sb, Se e Te em amostras certificadas para elementos traços, NIST 1549 (leite em pó desnatado), NIST 1570a (folhas de espinafre), DORM-2 (músculo de cação) e TORT-2 (hepatopâncreas de lagosta). Os resultados obtidos foram concordantes com os teores certificados.

The operating characteristics of a dual nebulization system were studied including instrumental and chemical conditions for the hydride generation and analytical figures of merit for both, hydride and non hydride forming elements. Analytical performance of the nebulization system was characterized by detection limits from 0.002 to 0.0026  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  for the hydride forming elements and between 0.0034 and 0.0121  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  for the non-hydride forming elements, relative standard deviation for 10 replicate measurements at 0.25  $\text{mg L}^{-1}$  level and recovery percentages between 97 and 103%. The feasibility of the system was demonstrated in the simultaneous determination of Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Mo, Ni, Zn, As, Bi, Sb, Se, and Te in the NIST 1549 (non-fat milk powder), NIST 1570a (spinach leaves), DORM-2 (dogfish muscle) and TORT-2 (lobster hepatopancreas) certified samples for trace elements. Results found were in good agreement with the certified ones.

**Keywords:** hydride, non-hydride elements, simultaneous determination, online hydride generation, ICP OES

### Introduction

Chemical vapour generation (CVG) coupled to atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS), atomic fluorescence spectrometry (AFS), inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP OES) and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, (ICP-MS) is a commonly used way for improving the sensitivity and selectivity of the determinations.<sup>1,2</sup>

The simultaneous determination of hydride forming and non hydride forming elements was first reported by Wolnick *et al.*<sup>3</sup> The tandem nebulization system employed consisted in a Meinhard concentric glass nebulizer mounted in a polytetrafluorethylene spray chamber directly joined to a cross-flow nebulizer fitted to a cylindrical glass spray chamber connected to the ICP torch. In a recent paper<sup>4</sup> the historical development of dual mode sample introduction systems used in ICP OES for the simultaneous determination of hydride and non-hydride forming elements has been reviewed highlighting the evaluation of interferences.

\*e-mail: m.luisa.cervera@uv.es

Gomez *et al.*<sup>5</sup> used a dual sample introduction system which consists of a crossflow nebulizer and concentric conventional Meinhard pneumatic nebulizer connected to the conventional double-pass spray chamber of the ICP OES instrument using a simple T-shaper adaptor. Asfaw and Wibetoe<sup>6</sup> developed a procedure for the direct analysis of beer, instant coffee and milk by ICP OES for the determination of Se and Ca, Mg, K, P, S and Zn. Selenium, introduced as hydride, was determined simultaneously with the other non-hydride-forming elements using the commercial multi-mode sample introduction system (MSIS) which was also used by Wiltsche *et al.*<sup>7</sup> for the multielemental analysis in high alloy steels and nickel alloys using axially viewed hydride generation ICP OES. On the other hand, Benzo *et al.*<sup>8</sup> employed a system which consists of two commercial conventional concentric nebulizers coupled to a modified cyclonic chamber for the determination of As, Be, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Se, Sb, Sr, V and Zn. The system provided a convenient means of determining elements, either by nebulisation or hydride generation or simultaneously in the same device without suffering losses during the time. Matusiewicz and Slachcinsky<sup>9</sup> used a MSIS nebulizer system in microwave induced plasma optical emission spectrometry (MIP-OES) for the simultaneous determination of some hydride forming elements (As, Bi, Ge, Sb, Se, and Sn), volatile vapors (Hg) and conventional elements (Ca, Fe, Mg, Mn, and Zn) using slurry sampling. This approach retains the multi-element capability of OES detection with simultaneous determination under compromised experimental conditions of various hydride forming elements and mercury and non-hydride forming elements. In a previous paper,<sup>10</sup> it was demonstrated that the simultaneous determination of Sb, Sn, V and Zn can be made by CVG-ICP OES with a homemade designed dual nebulizer without any further instrumental change. The system was constructed from simple, commercially available equipments. The full versatility of this system was exploited by simultaneous optimization of operating and hydride reaction conditions for the four aforementioned elements, being evidenced its dual potential benefit in ICP OES.

The goal of the present work has been the development of a multielemental method based on the simultaneous determination of Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Mo, Ni, and Zn (non-hydride forming elements), and As, Bi, Sb, Se, and Te (hydride forming elements) using a dual nebulizer and online hydride generation, with axial view ICP OES which has been evaluated based on the accuracy obtained for the analysis of different reference materials.

## Experimental

### Instrumentation

A dual viewed ICP OES instrument, Perkin Elmer, Model Optima 5300 DV spectrometer (Norwalk, CT, USA) used in the axial viewing mode, equipped with an autosampler AS 93-plus and the new dual nebulizer developed in other research laboratory was used throughout this work. Argon C-45 (purity higher than 99.995%) supplied by Carburros Metalicos (Barcelona, Spain) was employed as plasmogen. The operating conditions of the ICP OES equipment are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Instrumental and hydride generation conditions for ICP OES determination of hydride and non-hydride forming elements

Parameter	Value
<b>Instrumental</b>	
RF power	1300 W
Gas	Argon
Plasma gas flow rate	16 L min <sup>-1</sup>
Auxiliary gas flow rate	0.2 L min <sup>-1</sup>
Nebulizer gas flow rate	0.8 L min <sup>-1</sup>
Sample aspiration rate	1.1 mL min <sup>-1</sup>
View	Axial/radial
Background correction	3-Point
Sample introduction interface	Modified cross-flow nebulizer fitted to a double pass spray chamber
Number of replicates	3
<b>Hydride generation</b>	
HCl concentration	6 mol L <sup>-1</sup>
HCl flow rate	0.5 mL min <sup>-1</sup>
NaBH <sub>4</sub> concentration (in 0.4%, m/v, NaOH)	1.5% (m/v)
NaBH <sub>4</sub> flow rate	0.5 mL min <sup>-1</sup>
<b>Emission lines / nm</b>	
As	188.979
Bi	223.061
Ca	317.933
Cd	228.802
Co	228.616
Cr	267.716
Cu	327.393
Fe	230.204
Mg	285.213
Mn	257.610
Mo	202.031
Ni	231.604
Sb	206.836
Se	196.026
Te	214.281
Zn	206.200

A microwave laboratory system, Ethos SEL from Milestone (Sorisole, Italy), equipped with a thermocouple probe, for automatic temperature control, and an automatic gas leaks detector, with a maximum power of 1000 W, was employed for sample digestion. The system was equipped with 10 vessels of 100 mL inner volume. For cleaning the vessels, an automatic cleaning device trace clean from Milestone was used. Additionally, a 9 L volume ultrasound water bath, from Selecta (Barcelona, Spain), with 50 W power and 50 Hz frequency was employed for sample sonication.

### Reagents and solutions

A multi-element standard of 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> from Scharlau (Barcelona, Spain) containing all the elements studied was used as stock solution. Nitric acid (65% m/m) and hydrogen peroxide (30% m/m) were obtained also from Scharlau. All working standard solutions were prepared daily from the stock solution by simple dilution with Milli-Q ultrapure water in a nitric acid medium at 3% (v/v) level. Ultrapure water was obtained with a Millipore (Milford, MA, USA) Milli-Q water purification system.

Certified reference materials NIST 1549 (non-fat milk powder) and NIST 1570a (spinach leaves), obtained from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (Gaithersburg, MD, USA), DORM-2 (dogfish muscle) and TORT-2 (lobster hepatopancreas) materials obtained from the National Research Council of Canada (Ottawa, ON, Canada) were used to test the accuracy of the developed procedure. A commercial milk sample was used for the evaluation of matrix interferences and milk commercial samples were digested in the microwave system.

### Sample preparation

An aliquot of 4.0 mL of milk was transferred inside a Teflon vessel and it was accurately weighed. 6.0 mL HNO<sub>3</sub> and 2.0 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were added. The reactor was closed and it was placed inside the microwave oven and the following program was run: step 1, 20 min to reach 150 °C; step 2, 10 min to reach 200 °C; step 3, 10 min at 200 °C; and step 4, cooling down. After cooling, the vessels were opened and they were put in an ultrasound water bath for 30 min, in order to eliminate the nitrous oxide fumes, till to obtain completely clear digests and then transferred to 50 mL tubes with deionized water and diluted to a final volume of 25 mL.

The certified reference materials NIST SRM 1549, NIST 1570a, DORM-2 and TORT-2 were digested (0.5 g of each SRM) in a microwave oven using 4 mL H<sub>2</sub>O, 6 mL HNO<sub>3</sub> and 2 mL H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The certified materials were

prepared in independent triplicates. The heating program was the same previously described.

### Analytical procedure

The nebulization system is based on a cross-flow nebulizer prototype,<sup>10</sup> in which two introduction channels, perpendicular to the sample introduction one, were incorporated (see Figure 1). The two new channels permit the introduction of HCl and NaBH<sub>4</sub> solutions. The sample, the acid and sodium borohydride solutions were mixed at a merging point just before nebulization. This system was coupled with a conventional Scott double-pass spray chamber. Two peristaltic pumps were used: one to deliver the acid and the second to deliver the sodium borohydride solution. Sample delivering was carried out by the instrument autosampler. The two peristaltic pumps of the hydride generation system (HCl and NaBH<sub>4</sub>) were operated in continuous flow mode combined with the autosampler peristaltic pump, which controls the drain in order to avoid pressure differences and eventually, plasma extinction. Chemical conditions for the hydride generation used in this work were already studied in a previous paper.<sup>11</sup>




Figure 1. Nebulizer system: schematic design (adapted from reference 10).

## Results and Discussion

### Plasma stability

For evaluation of plasma short-term stability, it was monitored the response of each analyte from a solution containing 0.25 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of each one of the elements studied. Short-term stability was expressed as the relative standard deviation (RSD) of fluctuations in the emission signal around a mean value from a series of 10 replicates. The precision was almost equal or better than 10% indicating a high repeatability and short-term stability. Results are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Figures of merit of ICP OES determination of hydride and non-hydride forming elements using a dual nebulizer

Analyte	LOD / ( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ )	LOD / ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ )	LOQ / ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ )	RSD / (%)	Recovery / (%)	Calibration equation
Ca	0.0062	0.19	0.64	7	97 $\pm$ 7	y = 2369x + 18
Cd	0.0090	0.28	0.93	9	99 $\pm$ 1	y = 20671x + 35
Co	0.0037	0.12	0.38	7	105 $\pm$ 6	y = 2407x + 20
Cr	0.0034	0.11	0.35	5	101 $\pm$ 3	y = 8715x + 79
Cu	0.0093	0.29	0.96	1	106 $\pm$ 6	y = 75378x - 25
Fe	0.0039	0.12	0.40	4	100 $\pm$ 3	y = 5455x + 97
Mg	0.0056	0.18	0.58	10	99 $\pm$ 1	y = 7629x + 60
Mn	0.0047	0.15	0.48	1	98 $\pm$ 2	y = 39308x + 51
Mo	0.0067	0.21	0.69	5	103 $\pm$ 2	y = 2035x + 41
Ni	0.0054	0.17	0.55	10	102 $\pm$ 3	y = 2183x + 34
Zn	0.0121	0.38	1.25	5	100 $\pm$ 5	y = 1884x + 4
As	0.0020	0.06	0.21	8	99 $\pm$ 6	y = 62104x + 38
Bi	0.0024	0.08	0.25	6	99 $\pm$ 2	y = 84318x + 59
Sb	0.0024	0.07	0.24	7	98 $\pm$ 3	y = 27201x + 17
Se	0.0026	0.08	0.27	3	100 $\pm$ 4	y = 37907x + 29
Te	0.0020	0.06	0.21	8	99 $\pm$ 1	y = 7912x + 86

LOD and LOQ values were established using a 0.5 g sample mass; RSD values were established from 10 replicate analysis at 0.25 mg L<sup>-1</sup> level. Recovery percentages correspond to a milk sample spiked at 0.25  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  level. Values are the mean  $\pm$  the standard deviation of three replicate analysis. Concentration values in the calibration lines (x) were in mg L<sup>-1</sup>.

### Matrix effect and internal standardization

The slopes of the aqueous calibration curves prepared in nitric acid medium at 3% (v/v) level and those obtained by the standard addition on a digested milk sample, diluted 2.5 and 5 times, were compared in order to assess the presence of significant matrix effects (Table 3). The *t*-test was applied to the sensitivity values obtained for all considering elements at the two dilution levels considered. It was obtained values

of  $t_{\text{cal}}$  of 8.004 and  $-0.038$  for dilution at 2.5 and 5 times, respectively. In the first case it was demonstrated that  $t_{\text{cal}} = 8.004 > t_{(n=32, 95\%)} = 2.042$ . Sensitivity values obtained from 5 times diluted digested milk samples indicate the absence of matrix effects, evidenced by the similarity between calibration solutions and standard addition ones ( $t_{\text{cal}} = -0.038 > t_{(n=32, 95\%)} = 2.042$ ).

The choice of an internal standard was made by evaluating the profile of the analytical signals of the

**Table 3.** Sensitivity values obtained from external and standard addition calibration with dilution factors of 2.5 and 5

Element	External	Standard addition with 2.5 dilution factor	$r_1$	Standard addition with 5 dilution factor	$r_2$
Ca	2369 $\pm$ 91	5739 $\pm$ 19	2.42	4409 $\pm$ 25	1.86
Cd	20671 $\pm$ 101	27547 $\pm$ 22	1.33	17765 $\pm$ 94	0.86
Co	2407 $\pm$ 25	4215 $\pm$ 10	1.75	2056 $\pm$ 17	0.85
Cr	8715 $\pm$ 48	1498 $\pm$ 28	0.17	6709 $\pm$ 31	0.77
Cu	75378 $\pm$ 118	4209 $\pm$ 34	0.06	48714 $\pm$ 101	0.65
Fe	5455 $\pm$ 44	954 $\pm$ 17	0.17	6073 $\pm$ 40	1.11
Mg	7629 $\pm$ 37	14192 $\pm$ 57	1.86	8397 $\pm$ 41	1.10
Mn	39308 $\pm$ 95	6521 $\pm$ 33	0.17	35957 $\pm$ 87	0.91
Mo	2035 $\pm$ 18	331 $\pm$ 13	0.16	1473 $\pm$ 21	0.72
Ni	2183 $\pm$ 29	407 $\pm$ 21	0.19	1855 $\pm$ 30	0.85
Zn	1884 $\pm$ 15	459 $\pm$ 14	0.24	1551 $\pm$ 24	0.82
As	62104 $\pm$ 85	3660 $\pm$ 51	0.06	66571 $\pm$ 70	1.07
Bi	84318 $\pm$ 106	9376 $\pm$ 58	0.11	87040 $\pm$ 81	1.03
Sb	27201 $\pm$ 79	779 $\pm$ 11	0.03	25216 $\pm$ 73	0.93
Se	37907 $\pm$ 63	2255 $\pm$ 61	0.06	29858 $\pm$ 56	0.79
Te	7912 $\pm$ 24	2732 $\pm$ 47	0.35	6210 $\pm$ 18	0.78

Sensitivity values (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) expressed in counts *per*  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ;  $r_1 = \text{slope}_{\text{standard addition with 2.5 dilution}} / \text{slope}_{\text{external calibration}}$ ;  $r_2 = \text{slope}_{\text{standard addition with 5 dilution}} / \text{slope}_{\text{external calibration}}$ .

elements considered, in presence of Sc and Ru. To evaluate the internal standard performance, the slopes of the calibration curves for each analyte in the presence of 0.5 and 1.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of Sc and Ru, respectively, were compared (results not shown). It was observed that slightly better correction was obtained using Ru as internal standard. Therefore, Ru was adopted throughout this work.

#### Figures of merit

The limit of detection values for each element under study were calculated in µg mL<sup>-1</sup>, based on three times the standard deviation of the average of 10 readings of a multielemental standard solution of 0.25 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> level, divided by the slope of the respective calibration curve. Limit of detection values were also established in µg g<sup>-1</sup> taking into account the sample mass, as well as the limits of quantification (10 times the standard deviation). Data are listed in Table 2 and it can be seen that the system provides a high sensitivity and low detection limits for most studied elements. In order to compare the limits of detection obtained by dual-mode sample-introduction systems for simultaneous measurement of hydride and non-hydride forming elements, Table 4 is also included. This table shows that the limits of detection achieved for hydride-forming elements are within the range of those reported, except for analytes such as As, Sb and Se.<sup>12</sup> What is equally

important is that the limits of detection obtained for the non-hydride forming elements commonly correspond to those obtained with others reported systems or few are somewhat improved. The exception was Zn, whose limit of detection was high.

#### Recovery studies

Recovery studies were carried out by spiking a digested milk sample with a multielemental standard solution at 0.25 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> level. Recovery percentages were in the range from 97 to 103%, as listed in Table 2.

#### Accuracy studies

Method accuracy was evaluated by quantification of trace elements in a certified reference material, NIST 1549 (non-fat milk powder). Considering that other available reference materials may contain potential interferences similar to those of milk samples, NIST 1570a (spinach leaves), DORM-2 (dogfish muscle) and TORT-2 (lobster hepatopancreas) were also analyzed in order to get a wider application of the method. In general terms, statistically comparative values were found between data obtained and those certified for both, hydride and non-hydride forming elements. Table 5 reports the aforementioned data, also indicating as a footnote the statistical t-test applied for each reference material.

**Table 4.** Limits of detection for hydride and non-hydride forming elements obtained with ICP OES using simultaneous hydride generation (HG) and pneumatic nebulization (PN) with different dual-mode sample-introduction systems, limits of detection obtained for PN alone in the same systems are given in parentheses<sup>4</sup>

Element	Tandem nebulization / (ng mL <sup>-1</sup> )	Modified nebulizers / (ng mL <sup>-1</sup> )	Modified spray chambers / (ng mL <sup>-1</sup> )	This work / (ng mL <sup>-1</sup> )
Ca	6.0-25 (20.0)	-	0.5 (0.2)	6.2
Cd	1.3-9.0 (2.0-4.0)	3.0	0.5-4.1 (1.2-1.9)	9.0
Co	3.0-4.4 (4.0-5.0)	-	0.6-8.0 (0.3-5.0)	3.7
Cr	3.0-5.9 (4.3-9.0)	6.0	0.9-3.2 (1.2-5.6)	3.4
Cu	3.3-70 (2.0-3.0)	11	1.2-10 (1.5-3.0)	9.3
Fe	3.6-6.0 (4.0-14.0)	-	0.7-6.8 (0.4-4.6)	3.9
Mg	1.0-3.0 (1.0-1.7)	3.9	0.5-19 (0.5-2.0)	5.6
Mn	0.7-3.0 (1.1-2.0)	2.0	0.1-1.0 (0.04-1.3)	4.7
Mo	5.0-13.0 (7.0-14.0)	-	1.5-8.7 (1.3-12)	6.7
Ni	6.0-8.4 (10)	6.0	0.7-11 (0.4-16.0)	5.4
Zn	1.0-7.0 (1.5-7.0)	3.0-4.7	1.5-9.0 (2.3-6.8)	12.1
As	2.2-5.3 (20.0-160.0)	0.4 (50.0)	0.4-7.5 (3.4-130.0)	2.0
Bi	0.9 (40)	-	0.4-4.6 (2.7-50.0)	2.4
Sb	0.7-19 (20.0-2700.0)	0.5-2.0 (70.0)	0.1-8.3 (5.0-180.0)	2.4
Se	0.5-10.0 (20.0-260.0)	0.1 (53.0)	0.3-3.4 (7.4-100.0)	2.6
Te	0.7 (20.0)	-	0.4-2.0 (8.2-40.0)	2.0

**Table 5.** Analysis of certified reference materials by ICP OES using a designed dual nebulizer for the simultaneous determination of hydride and non hydride forming elements

Sample	NON FAT MILK POWDER		SPINACH LEAVES		DOG FISH MUSCLE		LOBSTER HEPATOPANCREAS	
	Experimental value / (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Certified value / (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Experimental value / (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Certified value / (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Experimental value / (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Certified value / (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Experimental value / (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Certified value / (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )
Ca	1.60 ± 0.4 <sup>a</sup>	1.30 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.50 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	1.527 ± 0.041 <sup>b</sup>	1.42 ± 0.08 <sup>b</sup>	NC	5.14 ± 0.26 <sup>b</sup>	NC
Cd	< LOD	0.0005 ± 0.0002	3.0 ± 0.1	2.89 ± 0.07	< LOD	0.043 ± 0.08	27.4 ± 0.7	26.7 ± 0.6
Co	< LOD	0.0041 <sup>a</sup>	0.40 ± 0.05	0.39 ± 0.05	0.179 ± 0.005	0.182 ± 0.031	0.53 ± 0.03	0.51 ± 0.09
Cr	< LOD	0.0026 ± 0.0007	< LOD	NC	34.4 ± 0.2	34.7 ± 5.5	0.76 ± 0.06	0.77 ± 0.15
Cu	0.76 ± 0.12	0.7 ± 0.1	11.6 ± 1.5	12.2 ± 0.6	2.3 ± 0.2	2.34 ± 0.16	107.3 ± 1.8	106 ± 10
Fe	1.70 ± 0.34	1.78 ± 0.10	0.86 ± 0.05	NC	143 ± 3	142 ± 10	105.2 ± 3.0	105 ± 13
Mg	0.14 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.120 ± 0.003 <sup>b</sup>	0.94 ± 0.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.89 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.64 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	NC	1.37 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	NC
Mn	0.272 ± 0.007	0.26 ± 0.06	78.7 ± 3.1	75.9 ± 1.9	3.7 ± 0.3	3.66 ± 0.34	13.34 ± 0.35	13.6 ± 1.2
Mo	0.39 ± 0.05	0.34 <sup>a</sup>	< LOD	NC	0.39 ± 0.08	NC	0.97 ± 0.04	0.95 ± 0.1
Ni	0.26 ± 0.09	NC	2.18 ± 0.05	2.14 ± 0.1	18.11 ± 2.04	19.4 ± 3.1	2.45 ± 0.11	2.5 ± 0.19
Zn	46.2 ± 2.3	46.1 ± 2.2	81.5 ± 3.0	82 ± 3	25.9 ± 0.6	25.6 ± 2.3	178.2 ± 7.3	180 ± 6
As	< LOD	0.0019 <sup>a</sup>	0.063 ± 0.025	0.068 ± 0.012	17.7 ± 0.3	18.0 ± 1.1	20.6 ± 1.6	21.6 ± 1.8
Se	0.13 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	0.114 ± 0.005	0.117 ± 0.009	1.44 ± 0.07	1.40 ± 0.09	5.53 ± 0.12	5.63 ± 0.67

<sup>a</sup>Information value; <sup>b</sup>concentration value in mass fraction (%); NC: non certified;  $t_{\text{cal}} = 0.0075$ ,  $t_{(n=14, 95\%)} = 2.145$ ;  $t_{\text{cal}} = 0.0128$ ,  $t_{(n=18, 95\%)} = 2.101$ ;  $t_{\text{cal}} = -0.006$ ,  $t_{(n=16, 95\%)} = 2.12$ ;  $t_{\text{cal}} = -0.00346$ ,  $t_{(n=20, 95\%)} = 2.086$ .

The regression between obtained results (y) and certified ones (x) provide an equation  $y = (1.00 \pm 0.14)x + (-0.012 \pm 0.003)$  which clearly evidences the lack of systematic errors in the determination of the considered elements. Differences between results found and certified ones are not statistically significant (see  $t_{\text{cal}}$  values in Table 5).

## Conclusions

The nebulizer system used in this work has proved its benefits in ICP OES; in general good-short term stability of the plasma was obtained; thus providing a significant improvement over conventional nebulization systems. The great advantage is that this system is adequate for the simultaneous determination of non-hydride and hydride forming elements in samples with different matrix composition. Good recoveries obtained for the elements analyzed and the excellent data found for different certified samples, clearly show the accuracy of the ICP OES determination of minor and trace elements in previously digested food samples.

## Acknowledgments

Authors acknowledge the financial support of the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovacion project AGL2007-64567, Ministerio de Educación project SAB2009-0064 and Conselleria d'Educació i Ciència de la Generalitat Valenciana GV PROMETEO 2010-055. Z. Benzo acknowledges Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones

Científicas, IVIC for allowing her sabbatical leave and T. Zoltan, for her contribution in the nebulizer construction as part of her degree thesis.

## References

- Pohl, P.; *Trends Anal. Chem.* **2004**, *23*, 87.
- Yan, X. P.; Ni, Z. M.; *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1994**, *291*, 89.
- Wolnik, K. A.; Fricke, F. L.; Hahn, M. H.; Caruso, J. A.; *Anal. Chem.* **1981**, *53*, 1030.
- Pohl, P.; Sturgeon, R. E.; *Trends Anal. Chem.* **2010**, *29*, 1376.
- Gomez, L. R.; Marquez, G. D.; Chirinos, J. R.; *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2006**, *38*, 188.
- Asfaw, A.; Wibetoe, G.; *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2005**, *382*, 173.
- Wiltche, H.; Brenner, I. B.; Prattes, K.; Knapp, G.; *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **2008**, *23*, 1253.
- Benzo, Z.; Maldonado, D.; Chirinos, J. R.; Marcano, E.; Gomez, C.; Quintal, M. S. J.; *Instrum. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *36*, 598.
- Matusiewicz, H.; Slachcinski, M.; *Microchem. J.* **2007**, *86*, 102.
- Zoltan, T.; Benzo, Z.; Murillo, M.; Marcano, E.; Gomez, C.; Salas, J.; Quintal, M.; *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2005**, *382*, 1419.
- Dos Santos, E. J.; Herrmann, A. B.; de Caires, S. K.; Azzolin-Frescura, V. L.; Curtius, A. J.; *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B* **2009**, *64*, 549.
- Rojas, I.; Murillo, M.; Carrion, N.; Chirinos, J.; *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2003**, *376*, 110.

Submitted: April 20, 2011

Published online: June 30, 2011